

Appendix 6

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

Are you in agreement with the proposed changes to the coordinated admissions scheme as described?		We are proposing to amend the previously looked after children rule as stated in paragraphs 2.5 - 2.8. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to insert Staff Children Priority as Rule 4 as stated in paragraphs 2.9 - 2.12. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to make some minor drafting changes to the nursery class and nursery school admissions arrangements as stated in paragraph 2.13 - 2.14. Do you agree with this proposal?
Response	You may comment here, please make it clear which section your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments	Response
Yes	Recruitment in Bucks has been a major problem for several years so I am highly in favour of a staff priority rule	Yes		Yes	This would be of huge benefit to primary schools	Yes
Yes		Yes		Yes	This will help with staff retention and positively boost wellbeing of staff already under pressure from work commitments	Yes
Yes		Yes		Yes	Likely to assist with staff retention	Yes
I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change
Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes

Appendix 6

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

Are you in agreement with the proposed changes to the coordinated admissions scheme as described?		We are proposing to amend the previously looked after children rule as stated in paragraphs 2.5 - 2.8. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to insert Staff Children Priority as Rule 4 as stated in paragraphs 2.9 - 2.12. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to make some minor drafting changes to the nursery class and nursery school admissions arrangements as stated in paragraph 2.13 - 2.14. Do you agree with this proposal?
Response	You may comment here, please make it clear which section your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments	Response
I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change
Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes
I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change

Appendix 6

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

Are you in agreement with the proposed changes to the coordinated admissions scheme as described?		We are proposing to amend the previously looked after children rule as stated in paragraphs 2.5 - 2.8. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to insert Staff Children Priority as Rule 4 as stated in paragraphs 2.9 - 2.12. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to make some minor drafting changes to the nursery class and nursery school admissions arrangements as stated in paragraph 2.13 - 2.14. Do you agree with this proposal?
Response	You may comment here, please make it clear which section your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments	Response
No	admissions consultation for Mary Towerton at Studley Green to increase from 12 to 14 intake in 2020. I believe this is unnecessary and will have a negative impact on Radnage number	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change
Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

	We are proposing to increase the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to reduce the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to change the Junior School Feeder rule from 2021 as stated in paragraph 2.18 and are seeking preliminary views. Do you agree with this proposal?
Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response
	Yes		Yes		Yes
	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		Yes
	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change
	No	Mary Towerton. Not sufficient children in the catchment of MT nor other schools in area The school is not currently full and not near to capacity Other local small schools are not full including Ibstone, Cadmore End and Radnage MT is already heavily over spending tax payers money when looking at DfE funding Increase in places is totally unnecessary This increase was not part of the original application/consultation to become a through primary school in fact they decreased their numbers to become a primary school.	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change
	Yes		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

	We are proposing to increase the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to reduce the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to change the Junior School Feeder rule from 2021 as stated in paragraph 2.18 and are seeking preliminary views. Do you agree with this proposal?
Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response
	No	Mary Towerton School: I do not support the increase in admission numbers for Mary Towerton school for the following reasons. 1. There are insufficient children within MTs catchment area to justify this change. 2. The school is not full now and does not have a waiting list for places and therefore has no reason to increase capacity. 3. There are many other small village school places available to parents within the close proximity - there is no unmet need that the increase in MTs numbers would address. 6. This increase was not part of the original application/consultation for its transition to primary school. At the time of MTs original consultation BCC noted the number of vacant primary school places already available. Therefore, in I can see no justification for a change to the admission number.	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change
	Yes		Yes		Yes
	No	Mary Towerton should not increase its PAN from 12 to 14 as it is not over subscribed or at capacity.	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

	We are proposing to increase the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to reduce the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to change the Junior School Feeder rule from 2021 as stated in paragraph 2.18 and are seeking preliminary views. Do you agree with this proposal?
Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response
	No	Re Mary Towerton : 1) Not sufficient children in the catchment of MT nor other schools in area 2) The school is not full now - not near to capacity 3) Other local small schools are not full including Ibstone, Cadmore End and Radnage 4) MT is already heavily over spending tax payers money when looking at DfE funding 5) Increase in places is totally unnecessary 6) This increase was not part of the original application/consultation to become a primary school	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change
	Yes		Yes		Yes

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

	Do you agree with the proposed admission number?		Do you agree with the proposed change to the catchment area?	
Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments
	Yes		Yes	
	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	
	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	
	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	
	Yes		Yes	

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

	Do you agree with the proposed admission number?		Do you agree with the proposed change to the catchment area?	
Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments
	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	
	Yes		Yes	
	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	

GOVERNING BODY RESPONSES

	Do you agree with the proposed admission number?		Do you agree with the proposed change to the catchment area?	
Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments
	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	
	Yes		Yes	

PARENTAL RESPONSES

We are proposing to amend the previously looked after children rule as stated in paragraphs 2.5 - 2.8. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to insert Staff Children Priority as Rule 4 as stated in paragraphs 2.9 - 2.12. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to make some minor drafting changes to the nursery class and nursery school admissions arrangements as stated in paragraph 2.13 - 2.14. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to increase the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?
Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments	Response
I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		No
No	Not sufficient children in the catchment of Mary Towerton nor other schools in area, The school is not currently full and not near to capacity, Other local small schools are not full including Ibstone, Cadmore End and Radnage, this increase was not part of the original application/consultation to become a through primary school in fact they decreased their numbers to become a primary school.	Yes		I have no view on this change		No

PARENTAL RESPONSES

<p>We are proposing to amend the previously looked after children rule as stated in paragraphs 2.5 - 2.8. Do you agree with this proposal?</p>		<p>We are proposing to insert Staff Children Priority as Rule 4 as stated in paragraphs 2.9 - 2.12. Do you agree with this proposal?</p>		<p>We are proposing to make some minor drafting changes to the nursery class and nursery school admissions arrangements as stated in paragraph 2.13 - 2.14. Do you agree with this proposal?</p>		<p>We are proposing to increase the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?</p>
<p>Response</p>	<p>Please enter any comments</p>	<p>Response</p>	<p>Please enter any comments</p>	<p>Response</p>	<p>Please enter any comments</p>	<p>Response</p>
<p>I have no view on this change</p>		<p>I have no view on this change</p>		<p>I have no view on this change</p>		<p>No</p>

PARENTAL RESPONSES

<p>We are proposing to amend the previously looked after children rule as stated in paragraphs 2.5 - 2.8. Do you agree with this proposal?</p>		<p>We are proposing to insert Staff Children Priority as Rule 4 as stated in paragraphs 2.9 - 2.12. Do you agree with this proposal?</p>		<p>We are proposing to make some minor drafting changes to the nursery class and nursery school admissions arrangements as stated in paragraph 2.13 - 2.14. Do you agree with this proposal?</p>		<p>We are proposing to increase the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?</p>
<p>Response</p>	<p>Please enter any comments</p>	<p>Response</p>	<p>Please enter any comments</p>	<p>Response</p>	<p>Please enter any comments</p>	<p>Response</p>
<p>Yes</p>		<p>Yes</p>		<p>Yes</p>		<p>No</p>

PARENTAL RESPONSES

	We are proposing to reduce the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to change the Junior School Feeder rule from 2021 as stated in paragraph 2.18 and are seeking preliminary views. Do you agree with this proposal?		Do you agree with the proposed admission number?	
Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments
Mary Towerton school should NOT be allowed to increase its PAN as there are already other local primary schools which have low pupil numbers. There is not a need for it and it is a total waste of money.	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	
see comments at 1.	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	

PARENTAL RESPONSES

	We are proposing to reduce the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to change the Junior School Feeder rule from 2021 as stated in paragraph 2.18 and are seeking preliminary views. Do you agree with this proposal?		Do you agree with the proposed admission number?	
Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments
Mary Towerton - I work for 2 local primary schools in the area (redacted) and am a parent for children in a third (redacted), none of these schools were full for reception in 2018/19 (including Mary Towerton) I believe that these changes are completely unnecessary, local school need to fill their places before an increase in PAN for Mary Towerton is even considered.	I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change		I have no view on this change	

PARENTAL RESPONSES

	We are proposing to reduce the admission number to schools stated in paragraph 2.15. Do you agree with this proposal?		We are proposing to change the Junior School Feeder rule from 2021 as stated in paragraph 2.18 and are seeking preliminary views. Do you agree with this proposal?		Do you agree with the proposed admission number?	
Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments, please make it clear which school your comment relates to:	Response	Please enter any comments	Response	Please enter any comments
<p>As Head Teacher of (redacted Primary School I strongly object to the increase in intake number from 12 to 14 at Mary Towerton School at Studley Green for the following reasons: 1. There is not sufficient demand for school places in the catchment area - the school's Reception Class is well below number. 2. Other local schools (Ibstone, Cadmore End and Radnage) are not full in every year group. 3. The school has had building works completed to become a combined primary school and during the consultation for this work there was a reduction to 12 NOR. 4. Mary Towerton School at Studley Green is heavily over spending according to the DfE compare schools website. It is wrong to increase the intake number on a school that is nowhere near full at present. 5. Any increase to intake at other local schools will have a negative impact on the number of pupils attending { redacted } and other local schools.</p>	Yes		Yes		I have no view on this change	

PARENTAL RESPONSES

Do you agree with the proposed change to the catchment area?	
Response	Please enter any comments
I have no view on this change	
I have no view on this change	

PARENTAL RESPONSES

Do you agree with the proposed change to the catchment area?	
Response	Please enter any comments
I have no view on this change	

PARENTAL RESPONSES

Do you agree with the proposed change to the catchment area?	
Response	Please enter any comments
I have no view on this change	