

## **APPENDIX A: 20/06437/VCDN**

### **Consultation Responses and Representations**

#### Councillor Comments

Cllr Tony Green: The additional height of the roof and rear gable will be out of character with other properties in the conservation area and will be overbearing with relation to neighbouring properties; The additional extension at the rear will be an over development of the site.

#### Parish/Town Council Comments

Unparished – High Wycombe Town - Terriers & Amersham Hill Ward

#### Consultation Responses

**Highways:** No objections or conditions

**Conservation Officer:** The reduction to a single window on the street elevation is acceptable. The two roof lights overcomplicate the front roof slope and are awkward adjacent to the dormer window and should be omitted.

Revised comments received (17.09.20)

The reduction to a single window on the street elevation is acceptable. The two roof lights overcomplicate the front roof slope and are awkward adjacent to the dormer window: it would be preferable if they were relocated to the rear roof slope rather than facing the street. However, it is appreciated that this is a similar arrangement to the previous scheme (20/05081/VCDN) and as such it would be unreasonable to withhold consent on this basis.

**Control of Pollution:** I have no objection to this variation.

#### Representations

4 comments have been received objecting to the original plans:

- Over development of the site
- Overlooking
- Increase in occupation and vehicle movement
- Extends beyond rear building line
- Out of keeping
- Roof alteration
- Invasion of privacy
- Potential for HMO use
- Sunlight
- Overbearing

3 comments received objecting to the amended plans:

- The comments largely re-state previous objections as outlined above.