

Officer Decision Report

Title:	Malthouse Way and Barley Way (S106 Scheme) Implementation of a parking TRO (Double Yellow lines)
Date decision taken:	04 th March 2020
Author:	Johnathon Sahota, Project Engineer, Network Improvement Team
Contact officer:	Johnathon Sahota: t-jsahota@buckscc.org
Local Members Affected	Cllr Alex Collingwood (Marlow)

Decision

That the Director for Highways: -

- A. Acknowledge the correspondence received to the consultation and determine whether any objection remains unresolved**
- B. Approves the Making of the traffic regulation order as advertised**
- C. Instructs the restrictions to be implemented by TfB;**

Reasons for the decision

1. Transport for Buckinghamshire have secured Section 106 funding to consult and implement a parking Traffic Regulation Order in Malthouse Way and Barley Way. The S106 contribution is made in respect of a new development in Portland Way.
2. Proposals include:
 - a. Implementation of additional double yellow lines
 - b. Refresh existing double yellow lines
 - c. Correction and update to existing Traffic Regulation Order for this location
3. The Town Council and Elected member were in agreement with the proposals and supported a full public consultation to take place, and following consultation, continue to support the implementation of the restrictions as were advertised.



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE



Following consultation, the elected Member Alex Collingwood states:

“Dear Jonathan

Please proceed with proposed parking restrictions as advertised but making sure no impact on No 10.

Can we also add in white marking across peoples drives as proposed by consulted no 5 as these are only advisory ?”

Following consultation, the Town Council states:

“Many thanks, Jonathan all received no further comments.”

4. The scheme has been developed through joint site meetings between Parish Council and TfB Parking Team Leader.
5. Whilst comments were received following consultation, one of which was an objection, we are of the view that this objection has now been resolved with the correspondent and that no objection remains outstanding to the proposal. Full details of the objection can be found in Appendix 2 of this report but a summary is provided below:

Correspondent objects to the proposals of reducing the length of a driveway protection marking outside their home. The reasons for the proposal is to correct the road marking in accordance with the prescribed road markings as set out in the Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2016. In addition to this, the correspondent was concerned that the proposed double yellow lines would extend of their driveway. The correspondent was advised that no double yellow lines would be marked across their driveway. The correspondent was satisfied with the TfB response and subsequently withdrew their objection.

Authority to take this decision

6. Council scheme of delegation July 2015, TEE 3e.

Feedback from Statutory Consultation

7. A summary of all correspondence received to the consultation is provided below and referenced to Appendix 2:

Ref:	Correspondent Comments	TfB Comments
1	Can I request copies of the proposals	Details of the proposals sent to correspondent. (No further response received)
2	Can additional parking restrictions be added to the proposal	We shall implement the proposed parking restrictions as advertised and monitor the effects once implemented. Further restrictions can be considered in the future.
3	Can a 20mph speed limit be imposed and white line fronting the car park	The proposals include yellow lines fronting the car park to address your concern. Please contact your Elected Member and Town Council directly to discuss your request for a reduced speed limit and gauge their support / approval.

4	I object to the shortening of the drive protection marking. I object any yellow lines across my driveway.	The white line will only be shortened to comply with the regulations (permitted size of road marking). We also confirm that no yellow lines will be marked across your driveway. (Objection subsequently withdrawn)
5	Can I request details of the proposals	Details of the proposals sent to correspondent. (Correspondent confirms no further comment to make)

Other options available, and their pros and cons

8. There are no alternative measures to prevent parking on the critical junctions and tight corners within the estate.

9. Statement of reasons:

Proposed 'No waiting at any time' restrictions are to be imposed at locations for the following reasons:-

- a) To mitigate concerns relating to parking in Malthouse Way and Barley Way as a result of a new residential development which has taken place in Portland Way
- b) For facilitating the passage on the road for any class of traffic (including pedestrians).
- c) For implementing requirements of the Highway Code.

Conflicts of interest

10. None.

Background Papers

- Appendix 1 - Consultation Letter and Plan; Statutory Consultation Detail.
- Appendix 2 - Feedback from Statutory Consultation
- Appendix 3 – Member and Town Council approvals (post consultation)

Your questions and views

If you have any questions about the matters contained in this paper please get in touch with the Contact Officer whose email address is given at the head of the paper.



Approval Signature.....

**Jayne Dando
Acting Head of Highways
Transport . Economy . Environment**

Date 12 March 2020.....