

APPENDIX A: 20/06155/FUL

Consultation Responses and Representations

Councillor Comments

Councillor Alan Hill has called planning application to Planning Committee

This application is an overdevelopment of this site stretching from one boundary to the other, there is a lack of parking on site 2spaces for 6 bedrooms, it would be interesting to know where the other cars are going to park. This application also is not unlike 296 Desborough Ave, which has just been refused on appeal. If this application is for approval please take to committee for determination.

Councillor Lesley Clarke has called application to Planning Committee.

Please bring to the Planning Committee if you are minded to approve. This is gross overdevelopment of this detached family 3 bedded property. The proposed changes are not in keeping with the street scene. The building lines appear to be in close proximity to the neighbouring properties on both sides. There are no 3 floor detached houses in the locality.

Planning application reference 20/06648/FUL, yet to be determined on the same property - has devastated the back garden taking out mature trees and is floodlight, it does appear that this is now storage for cars and it appears too that a secondhand car sales business is being run from this property.

If Site Visits are in place, please ensure that there is a site visit.

Parish/Town Council Comments

High Wycombe Town - Unparished

Consultation Response

None Received

Representations

One letter of representation received from adjacent dwelling and objections on the grounds of:

- Proposed works are out of character from local context
- Excessive use of concrete in the rear garden might have implications for commercial use, i.e. second hand car business
- Proposed side extension would comprise the foundations of no. 357
- Proposed third storey is detrimental to the appearance and design of the dwelling and out of keeping with neighbourhood
- Visual impact to street scene and devalue neighbouring properties

One letter of representation received from adjacent dwelling during the re-consultation and objections on the grounds of:

- Proposal is out of keeping with local context

Proposal compromise the foundations of neighbour's house and unable to carry out maintenance including the gutters and soffit boards