

Responses	Support
If the policy applies to land owned by the council why is it only being applied to on street parking ? This policy should be used	Yes
Good proposed policy. It looks fair and proportionate.	Yes
I think that it is fair and makes sense.  There are many owners of vehicles who break some of these rules and should have their vehicles removed from them.  It's bad enough that we also have blocked pavements where people have to walk around a car onto a road or untaxed cars, etc left for months or years in streets.	Yes
I don't think the proposal goes far enough. There are many cars locally that are parked repeatedly or left for weeks at a time that prevent people accessing footpaths and pavements. In particular people with prams, pushchairs and mobility scooters.	Yes
I am in complete agreement as this is long overdue. Badly /illegally parked vehicles are of particular concern in High Wycombe Town Centre often when CEOs are not on duty. How is this increasing problem to be addressed? There can be occasions when a vehicle has clearly broken down and is parked on a restricted street or bus bay/stand etc. with the driver in attendance awaiting response from a breakdown service e.g. AA/RAC. Hopefully discretion will be observed in such an instance.	Yes
Fully support this policy. Need a by-law to prevent parking on all footways, full or partly, and grass verges too.	Yes
As Buckinghamshire Council Cabinet Member for Logistics, the proposed policy has my unconditional and complete support. Highways safety will be improved. Vehicles owned by drivers who consistently evade paying parking fees and charges, unsafe and unroadworthy vehicles, and vehicles parked that prevent roadworks or parked unsafely, will be removed. I commend the Council for proposing these measures.	Yes
I agree with the proposal.	Yes
This is very interesting and well overdue. However, what about cars that are dumped in residential roads. Or people that that park multiple cars on grass verges making roads look unsightly, people who park several cars that they use for business purposes in residential roads and cause other residents in the road to have nowhere to park. (Again unsightly) People who leave cars in residential roads with no tax or mot  The list is unfortunately endless.thank you	Yes
Vehicles without valid MOT parked on public road to be removed promptly after being reported	Yes
My husband has a blue badge and is wheelchair bound. We would wholeheartedly support the removal of vehicles either obstructing the use of or parking in a disabled parking space without displaying a valid blue badge. Please also consider if you	Yes
Whilst I have nothing to add to the policy the current parking arrangements are not policed, we have numerous vehicles in Denham parked as a hazard, other staying their time in car parks by shops, parking illegally and nothing is done as Bucks say	Yes
I totally agree with all of the proposals but you are missing an opportunity to address TWO other major issues..... 1 - that of vehicles parked partially or WHOLLY on the pavements/pathways forcing pedestrians (& the disabled) into the road in order to pass. 2 - that of vehicles parked on or close to corners especially at junctions thereby reducing visibility to see on coming traffic.	Yes
There is no mention of vehicles qualifying for removal for the complete obstruction of the public footpaths. To be clear I mean not just two wheels on the pavement but all wheels on the pavement making it impossible for the passage of pedestrians, wheel chair users and people pushing prams. Also vehicles which totally destroy the green spaces and verges by their persistent parking particularly commercial vehicles. Would the removal policy apply to vehicles parked on junctions	Yes
looks good. please get on with it.	Yes
In agreement. Bad parking and illegal parking a real cause of disputes. Only by hurting driver with financial penalties will they consider not doing it again. However quick action is required not the 'its in the list' bland statement	Yes
It's a much needed policy as the roads on our estate are a dumping ground for the examples given.	Yes
What a good idea	Yes
Whilst probably not part of the policy the council needs to be explicit about which breaches are dealt with by its enforcement officers, which will be dealt with by police, and which will simply be ignored because each passes the buck to the other (e.g.	Yes
I am very happy to support this scheme which assists those in a role to identify those who have total disregard for the law and	Yes
I am happy about the proposal, and believe it should have been set a long time ago.	Yes
Both my wife and I support this policy. I'm fed up with builders vans using disabled slots because they need the extra width. (Blue badge holder) My wife hates the blocked pavements (Pedestrian)	Yes
I think it's a great policy, for example I live at 6 Grebe Close on Watermead and there is a massive sign on the floor that says KEEP CLEAR yet people still park on the curb by that sign, meaning emergency vehicles would not be able to get up the road.	Yes

I live on Elm Farm Road and I've been saying for years cars park how they want here and god forbid if an emergency at William Harding School happened, ambulances but especially fire engines could have real issues getting through because cars park on both sides without much thought for residents or other matters. Removing cars who parked without thought is a great idea	Yes
I fully welcome all and any measures to make our environment safer from dangerously parked cars. I live on the Hawkslade estate and driving in and out has become a daily battle thanks to illegal and dangerously parked cars, not to mention the volume of vans parked everywhere, causing greater obstruction. Residents refuse to park in their own drives because they perceive the strip of road outside their houses to be their property, and insist on parking there. Generally blocking most of the pavement. Anton Way is an accident waiting to happen, with cars and vans parked too close to junctions and causing bottlenecks and Absolutely in favour of removing vehicles that are incorrectly parked.	Yes
From my understanding of this, the Draft Vehicle Removal Policy is only about taking action where there are current parking restrictions in place, not on any road, such as in housing estates. So traffic wardens should be doing their job correctly.	Yes
Full support, feel it is overdue. Roads being obstructed by badly parked vehicles is a persistent issue.	Yes
Long over due, it's about time drivers who have little care towards others are brought into line. I experience problems daily by drivers who have parked on blind bends , restricting the view of the road and worry an accident will occur. This needs to	Yes
I believe this would be beneficial to Aylesbury. I live near the hospital and we struggle to drive in and out of our development due to the hospital staff and visitors parking near the junction and on the bend this is extremely dangerous. Remove the vehicles, make the owners realise how stupid and inconsiderate they are by removing the vehicles. Double yellow lines would	Yes
I agree the Bucks Council should implement this Vehicle Removal Policy	Yes
Should the vehicle owner return before it's towed, then the vehicle should be released regardless if all 4 wheels are on the bed or 2 wheels in the carry. The owner should have the right to have the vehicle back before towing otherwise it's unfair and you're just out for money. Unless they are a dodger then they should be entitled to have the vehicle back. It would also help if you could notify the owner (dvla have numbers) that it will be towed and an advice given to come and get the vehicle within a time frame. That is what a reasonable and conscientious council would look at.	Yes
Should a vehicle be retrieved from the lock up, it would help if you could also provide a payment plan and I'm sure that very	Yes
Great idea. There are so many cars parked on the pavement obstructing pedestrians. Great example is The road at Aylesbury College going towards Mitchell Close. The entrance is constantly blocked by students and parents parking throughout the day	Yes
I would agree with the policy. Cars should be parked in appropriate parking spaces. The problem In my cup re sac is no allocated spaces and therefore houses up the road park in-used and in taxed vehicles yet they have their own driveways. They refuse to remove the spare cars to enable the residents to park leaving it unsafe and no access for emergency vehicles. Council need to get unroadworthy cars removed and allocate parking . Also where needed use of permits	Yes
I think it a very good idea. It is dangerous for people to ignore road regulations Parking on double yellow lines, too near junctions , schools and disabled spaces Lack of road tax and persistent speeders	Yes
I totally agree. Cars are parked in areas that, at best cause an obstacle for partially sighted or parents with pushchairs and at worst, may prevent emergency vehicles answering emergencies. People have multiple cars per household and do not listen to	Yes
Any action that can help against illegal and unsafe parking,I am in support of.I would also like that the fines issued are large	Yes
All in favour. Seems an area where the main problem is the police (et al) don't have enough tools to do the job. A higher tier of enforcement would seem to be a benefit to the community, especially in cases where it appears fines are ineffective. So long	Yes
Fully support the policy	Yes
Needed ASAP.	Yes
Where I work vehicles are continuously parking in front of access gates causing serious obstruction also they just park along the side which is double yellow lines causing issue. Since the lockdown this has multiplied due to Deliveroo and just eat delivery drivers in cars and bike's. Where I live there always seems to be some vehicle or other that isn't taxed or MOT parked on the road as well, and they seem to be	Yes
I would love to see this happen as people abuse parking. I live on a busy road and a large van obstructs my view from my drive and it is dangerous. Currently I believe there is nothing that can be done!	Yes
Persistent evaders	Yes
I consider that 5 parking offences, is far too generous. After 1 offence, action should be taken against persistent evaders.	Yes
Excellent plan. Must apply especially to those obstructing bus lanes and bus stops 24/7	Yes
Great idea. It will stop the dumping of cars and illegal parking	Yes
It all seems reasonable except the fraudulent use of parking permits.	Yes
It should be easy for people to report a vehicle that is illegally parked and have confidence it will be removed promptly.	Yes
I think it,s a good idea. I know of a few cars that are untaxed & no insurance. I am also a Blue Badge holder and see misuse of	Yes
Support	Yes

I agree totally with the vehicle removal policy on the grounds that unpaid fines and those charges for removal should be paid. Also any fraud used for the avoidance of parking fees should be punished, along with obstruction vehicles being removed	Yes
My comments are very straight-forward - I support this initiative in its entirety.	Yes
Support the policy which is reasonable and timely.	Yes
If only it was implemented....	Yes
Chesham is more like a massive car sales site which is making life impossible to park and dangerous. We have a lot of trouble with a car sales trader at the beginning of Cheyne Walk it's very dangerous to enter and exit the road. We council should take the same action as Greenford no street car sellers any vehicles seen are not clamped but removed and crushed	Yes
I live in a road which has a car dealer living at the end, consistently blocking the road from residents, refuse collectors etc he is issued with numerous tickets which he removes and throws on the ground. It is my greatest wish that more could be done to prosecute him as an arrogant, persistent offender. Fingers crossed this Vehicle Removal Policy will see to just that.	Yes
We are directly affected by the issues mentioned in regard to illegal parking on Cheyne Walk and would like to see this proposal enforced and action taken to prevent repeated and persistent crime.	Yes
The road is regularly blocked by many vehicles for sale, parked on double yellow lines, with little or no action is taken to stop	
I completely agree with the proposal	Yes
I am happy with the process for most of the cases except.	Yes
Parking in a disabled space without a blue badge is grounds for removal. I suspect many may have done this on occasion for brief period. It differs from all the other cases I think, which basically cover fairly deliberate abuse. Perhaps this might be better covered as a parking offence with a fine? Persistent offender might rack up 5 tickets and then be legally removed.	
Policy seems reasonable but more needs to be done to help residents with car dealers parking cars inconsiderately	Yes
It would be exceptionally good to have a vehicle removal policy as there are times when indiscriminate parking causes much	Yes
I am supportive of this proposal, in particular the action against vehicles blocking emergency vehicles and the abuse of blue	Yes
I only hope you enforce it with some vigour. And not just a quick and short action of policing.	Yes
I think this is great and long overdue.	Yes
Document is too soft, there really should be no mercy for selfish and dangerous parking which is flagrant throughout Bucks	Yes
I fully support the removal of badly parked or illegal vehicles.	Yes
I totally agree with the Draft Vehicle Removal Policy. I recently had the need to use the emergency ambulance service, and couldn't be taken to the ambulance by wheelchair as someone had blocked my gate with their vehicle. The highway code tells you not to block others access to their property, and so removing the offending vehicle is absolutely necessary and the right	Yes
Long overdue	Yes
It's fantastic. Go ahead.	Yes
Also please remove vehicles that have been reported as abandoned. Please also make a policy to remove abandoned vehicles much quicker. Make this available on private land too. Also people	
Pleased to see the proposed policy.	Yes
Could I ask that we add to the list of circumstances in which vehicles can be removed, namely - Vehicles that are not removed when resurfacing of a carriageway has been agreed and proper signage and notification	
Fully support the draft proposal. Would also like it to cover the narrow lanes in villages such as Chearsley where we have persistent offenders who block the road to delivery vans of any size.	Yes
I believe that ALL persons fraudulently using facilities are well aware of their responsibilities and should therefore take the maximum consequences. If this is taken in either complete licence removal for a considerable time or disposal of car in it's	Yes
The proposals are long overdue and effective enforcement is to be welcomed.	Yes
In favour of removing unauthorised vehicles from disabled spaces immediately without any waiting period (thus allowing the offender to return and drive away).	Yes
In favour of removing vehicles obstructing roads such that potential emergency vehicles cannot pass. This should be instant and reportable by the public such that one phone call should result in swift removal of offending vehicle. Can you achieve this?	
In favour of vehicles being removed from unauthorised pavement parking on all main roads, especially bus routes.	
I totally agree, however this practice, once in force should be always followed up and conducted professionally, there are wardens that know people and never go and give fines. I had reported double yellow line parked cars on corners and no action has been taken. If the system is run professionally and with transparency, then that would make Buckinghamshire a	Yes
Enough with cars obstructing traffic, preventing ambulances, fire engines, refuse collection to pass. Enough of cars parking outside their own front of house parking area. Enough of business parking in a residential area (some family have 3 or 4 cars they use as taxis and it shouldn't be there). Enough of near collision everytime we get down a hill because cars are parked all over the hill even in the corner of roads. Enough of cars parking on both sides of the road. Enough of cars parking on	Yes
My wife and I are very much in favour of this policy.	Yes
Just remove everything not legally parked, not taxed. No consultation required.	Yes

As a someone who lives in a residential road, that is continually parked in day and night by people working, studying or shopping in High Wycombe, we continually get frustrated by the carelessness and inconsideration of the people who park their cars. At times it is impossible for our own friends and relatives to park near when visiting. Also parking half on road and half on the grass verge causes damage and frustrates keeping the road tidy and grass cutting. Also the misuse of car parking	Yes
I think a robust approach makes sense. Especially if it impacts upon emergency service vehicles, the refuse collection trucks, and/or it's for persistent offenders/fine dodgers. Good idea. I just hope the fee for recovering the vehicle is enough to be a	Yes
I agree with council proposal as per condition set out in the draft.	Yes
I am in support	Yes
I fully support these proposals and hope you are able to implement them.	Yes
Clear and good.	Yes
When it says parked 50cm from carriageway, does this refer to parking close to corner or distance from kerbside.	
YES COMPLETELY AGREE	Yes
I support any efforts by Bucks CC to rid the area of illegally parked vehicles which cause detriment to neighbourhoods and danger to other road users and pedestrians. Some people seem to treat streets as free car parks with no care for those	Yes
I support the policy. But why does it need to be a policy? Bucks C.C. should be doing this anyway. As part of this initiative, the Council must make sure that all road markings (i.e. double yellow lines, parking bays, etc.), many of which are indistinct or badly worn, are CLEARLY marked.	Yes
I think the proposal makes sense & will hopefully stop those in the minority making it difficult for disabled people, emergency services & the general public who are directly affected by these ignorant & non community minded people.	Yes
Sounds like an excellent and much needed proposal!	Yes
I applaud any effort to tighten parking restrictions, inconsiderate parking is a danger to everyone particularly on residential roads. The residents in my area have lobbied for years for parking restrictions to apply as it is so dangerous to reverse out of our drives, Shelley road HP11.	Yes
Parking has become not only inconsiderate to pedestrians but to road users as well. Any vehicle parked illegally, without due care and attention, causing a situation where vehicles cannot negotiate entering or exiting drive ways or or junctions safely. Persistent offenders cars should be removed impounded and fines issued on retrieval	Yes
Brilliant stuff	Yes
I completely agree as cars park at random on the double yellow lines on Wordsworth Road in High Wycombe all the time! They also throw their rubbish out of the window and no one picks it up but that is another story...	Yes
I totally agree with the Draft Vehicle Removal Policy.	Yes
It appears to me to be a reasonable approach to a significant problem that requires action. I support this move.	Yes
It seems a fair policy overall but I would expect people to be warned for a first offence rather than have their vehicle seized at the first opportunity for which there might be a valid explanation (lost keys, taken ill suddenly or other emergency). Who would remove the vehicles and would they be incentivised to remove as many vehicles as possible per day? That would	Yes
Fully support the proposals  cannot see that displaying a blue badge where the driver is not the badge holder, nor is the badge holder present, is fraudulent - should it not be?	Yes
I support it.	Yes
Fully support the draft Vehicle Removal Policy Although individually a badly parked or abandoned car is not a major problem, it is to the person it has an impact on.	Yes
I agree with this policy. I have a blue badge and believe it is not policed enough...	Yes
Support. Inconsiderate parking and parking in disabled places without a blue badge should be addressed .	Yes
I fully support it. It is essential to ensure dangerously parked vehicles are removed as soon as possible.	Yes
I support the Draft Vehicle Removal Policy as described.	Yes
Yes, too many car owner disregard the Highway Code with inconsiderate parking. The Drive, Amersham experiences this at weekends ( pre Covid ) with commuter parking ( rather than ample car park space) & causing obstructions to drives &	Yes
While I welcome the new proposal I also think more needs to be done about the amount of 'car traders' in High Wycombe that dump untaxed and/or uninsured cars around the area. Gilletts Lane is one of the worst hit areas - there is always a number of	Yes
Parking in Iver, particularly is dreadful- Vehicles are allowed to park all over the pavements and grass vergers and nothing is done about it.	Yes
i fully support this, long overdue, please go ahead.	Yes
Strongly SUPPORT	Yes

<p>I support the principle of the draft Policy, however I would like to suggest some amendments to the document to enhance it.</p> <p>In sections 5 and 6, the lists show a number of different reasons why this policy can be implemented. The Policy could be enhanced to say that "one or more" of the reasons need only apply for action to be taken to enforce the policy. It could be interpreted that all of these reasons need to apply before enforcement can take place.</p> <p>In section 11, the owner/keeper needs to provide evidence of identity, address and ownership (plus make payment) before the removed vehicle can be reclaimed. The Policy could be extended to also include the need to ensure a valid MOT, valid motor insurance and road tax paid are in place for the vehicle/driver.</p>	Yes
<p>I agree and support all the proposed circumstances.</p> <p>I believe the circumstances should also include the more complained about low level anti-social on street parking that affects the ordinary citizen far, far, more than any of the listed circumstances. These include cars and vans being parked on residential roads for long periods; sometimes because the owner has gone on holiday for two or more weeks, sometimes much longer. Also because the person is operating an unauthorised business from home, such as car sales or car repairs, when large numbers of cars may be parked on, and occupy large parts of the on-street parking to the great detriment of the residents.</p> <p>While there are regulations that cover the removal of vehicles that are left for lengthy periods or owners that are repeatedly disruptive to the local area, these are not employed effectively and not often enough.</p> <p>The Regulations should be amended to include more rapid and effective removal of vehicles parked on residential streets as part of a business operating without permission from a residential property or from a business in a nearby business premise. Vehicles parked long-term because, for example, the vehicle(s) are parked for a lengthy period because they are immobile with defects or while the owner has gone on holiday and parked on the street to avoid parking charges.</p> <p>The circumstances should also include those vehicles parked in residential streets on a daily basis throughout the year by non-</p>	Yes
<p>I have been asked to comment about the above and wish to give my backing to any measures which Buckinghamshire Council might adopt to stop illegally or long-term parked vehicles, as described on your website, on our roads.</p> <p>I have raised the problems which we on Poets Corner have with long-term and all-day parkers and the dangers and problems this causes. I realise that this is a separate issue but wonder what steps are being taken to introduce a much-needed Residents' Parking scheme or similar to address this problem on this estate which is constantly used to avoid paying parking fees in the town.</p>	Yes
<p>There is no mention of vehicles who persistently park on the pavement and grass verges, also Deeds Grove there are bylaw signs saying no parking on the grass verge which are totally ignored.</p>	Yes
<p>Vehicles are parking poorly all over High Wycombe, causing obstructions and poor visibility, both for pedestrians and for other</p>	Yes
<p>The draft policy is aimed at obstructions on the roads. What about a policy to remove cars that are obstructing the footpaths? We have many cars in my area that park with 4 wheels on the footpath, causing pedestrians, wheelchair users, those with</p>	Yes
<p>I live near a road which I walk down where the path is blocked by parked cars. You cannot get a pram or wheelchair through. Also cars are parked on drop kerb which is the use of people with pushchairs etc</p>	Yes
<p>I regularly walk around my home area ( Micklefield, High Wycombe). Frequently I come across vehicles parked on the pavement in such a manner that I need to step out into the road to pass by. I see young parents with buggies needing to do the same. This is not just selfish behaviour, it is also dangerous. I'm 80 years old and there is one vehicle that regularly parks on a corner pavement that is difficult to see what traffic is around until you're on the road. If something was travelling at</p>	Yes
<p>The proposal needs to include the removal of any vehicle blocking a private drive or parking space as blocking a resident's vehicle from leaving their property or allocated parking space is not currently possible.</p>	Yes
<p>Please consider including issues related to pavement parking which causes a problem for wheelchair users, those with pushchairs and pedestrians in general.</p> <p>Our area suffers with vehicles, usually untaxed, being parked for long periods of time, which take up much needed parking spaces. These vehicles are unlikely to be owned by local residents yet they cause issues for all local residents.</p> <p>You may wish to include the issue of obstruction for council refuse vehicles. If they cannot pass through an area the bins are</p>	Yes
<p>As an elderly resident of Totteridge I am getting very concerned with having to continually walk in the road as all the parked vehicles on the path a lot are untaxed and are not moved for months</p>	Yes
<p>I feel it doesn't go far enough. Cars parked on kerbs, road corners and blocking access for the elderly, wheel chairs .</p>	Yes
<p>Also there is a need to tackle number of minibuses, vans, tow trucks parked up dangerously, blocking views and access, for</p>	

Something needs to be done about parking. Close to where I live two flat bed trucks park with all four wheels on the pavement on a corner. This type of parking causes pedestrians to walk in the road and also makes it difficult for road users to turn out of road. Near where I live also there is an area of double yellow lines. Parking wardens regularly patrol the area and issue tickets. This morning one car had four tickets on its windscreen and two others each had three tickets. The council should arrange for	Yes
What about persistent vehicles parked on pavements obstructing pedestrians, especially wheelchair users and pushchairs. This is particularly seen and experienced on Berryfields and Buckingham Park.	Yes
This is an excellent draft, and covers vital areas such as misuse of facilities for people who hold blue badges and persistent offenders. However, residents are also concerned about the amount of parking on pavements which causes pedestrians to have to walk in the road. This is of particular concern to people with buggies, wheel chair users and people with visual impairment. I think it would be useful to add into the draft how we as a Council can tackle this problem and plan to reassure	Yes
Parking on grass verges is destroying our environment as well as causing damage to the local look of the area. As far as I'm aware it's illegal to park on the pavement at any location, and so any vehicle can be issued a ticket, and any un-taxed or uninsured vehicles can be removed from a public road. would the public be able to take pictures of any offending vehicles, and be passed onto either the council or police for either fines or removal to take place. The other problem is vehicles	Yes
There needs to be sanctions or arrangements for vehicles parked either wholly or partially on pavements. There is a vehicle regularly parked in Hatters Lane not only on the pavement but restricting the for traffic turning right from Wingate Avenue and what I call the "Mr Khan" school of driving where large vehicles are not parked but abandoned on the front drives	Yes
I got excited when I read about a draft vehicle removal policy however the draft policy misses the common problems which pedestrians encounter. My wife and I have walked on pavements in Loudwater, Flackwell and Wooburn Green and found the following: Cars and vans parking on pavements often so far onto the pavement that walkers have to go onto the road to pass the obstruction! Cars and vans completely ignoring the requirement to leave 3ft or 1m clear to allow for pedestrians and those with prams or wheelchairs to get by. (this is exasperated by property owners allowing hedges, bushes and trees to impact the	Yes
Consideration should be given to the removal of vehicles left parked across a private driveway or, indeed, outside an address where the vehicle has no obvious rights or permission. If a car is merely 'dumped' outside a property, especially in a private	Yes
I think any vehicle that blocks a road or footpath should be removed, specifically those who prevent the emergency services perform their duties in a timely manner. Vehicles blocking footpaths are inhibiting users (specifically those with disabilities or	Yes
Best thing I've read - vehicles parked along road and pavements instead of using their own drives - no consideration, views are obscured, disabled unable to get about safely, parents walking with prams and small children all this is such a BIG HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUE. There are also a large number of unroadworthy vehicles just left on the public highway to rot all of which	Yes
Parking on pavements should be removed.....HP124AJ cars on pavements both sides of road, and on corners an accident waiting to happen. What makes a mockery of it all is that BCC gave planning permission for block of flats to be built at bottom of this road...but they are not interested in the safety of all residents in this and many more roads.	Yes
Think we should move cars parked on pavement	Yes
I sternly agree to get tougher with offenders that constantly keep parking on the road side pavements causing obstructions for pedestrians and more so with those that just think it is acceptable to ignore parking fines and believe they are a rule under	Yes
Looks good will it cover cars parked on the streets on trade plates, car dealers in Chesham use residential roads to park cars on trade plates regularly for example the top end of Lowndes Avenue. They don't always have trade plates on them to disguise the fact they are untaxed and parked illegally on the roads. Also I think parking on pavements should be addressed.	Yes
Is there to be any action for vehicles parked and blocking pavements. This is getting worse in our area and I often have to walk	Yes
Agree with the policy, although you should also remove vehicles that are parked on pavements causing an obstruction to pedestrians, forcing them into danger and risking their lives by having to walk into the road.	Yes
Totally agree with all that is stated except you have not mentioned vehicles parked on pavements which are also a hazard.	Yes
I guess road users from "Parked vehicles that cause a serious obstruction to emergency services and other road users" include pedestrians as well, but the policy should contain another bullet point dedicated to cars parked on pavements and forcing pedestrians on the road. Especially parents with pushchairs are vulnerable as they cannot squeeze in small spaces left by cars.	Yes
I support the proposals. Cars parked inconsiderately cause obstructions. I am particularly concerned about cars parked across footpaths and the number of cars parked on the road in the estate where I live which do not belong to residents and make	Yes
This is a very good idea and very welcome as the issue with illegally parked cars is a major problem. On a frequent basis cars are parked on pathways, corners and junctions which is a constant problem. This causes other drivers to drive blindly on the wrong side of the road into oncoming traffic. This is especially so on the Carver Hill Road cul-de-sac onto the green area and also the junction onto Desborough Road. It also causes pedestrians to have to walk on the road as pathways are completely blocked. We have many senior citizens around this area and young families with children who cannot use the pathways due to	Yes
Whilst it is commendable to move the vehicles' that are illegally parked in places that they shouldn't be. I see nothing being put in place to move vehicles, that are illegally parked on grass verges across the borough, that are making a complete mess of	Yes
I would like it to be more specific to ensure that vehicles parked blocking pavements and obscuring visibility at junctions can be targeted with fines or removal.	Yes

What about vehicles causing an obstruction, such as parking on the pavement and preventing free passage. Could this not be	Yes
I agree. I would like to include vehicles parked on grass verges. Also include parking on pavements wholly and partially where it causes obstruction i.e. insufficient width remains for wheelchairs and prams to pass by.	Yes
I support the policy. With reference to section 8. "Obstruction" should include any vehicle that has been driven on to the footway in contravention of Section 72 of the Highway Act 1835 (updated 1982). In other words, any vehicle on the footway except where this is expressly permitted should be treated as an obstruction and I suggest that the wording should be altered to make this explicit.	Yes
There does not seem to be any consideration regarding charges etc. in relation to an owner of the vehicle that may have been incapacitated resulting in the vehicle apparently "abandoned".	Impartial
I do believe that new houses should be built with more off street parking. More should be done about vehicles parking close to junctions as The Highway Code states you should not park within 6feet of a junction. There are many places where vehicles are parked directly on the corner obstructing views from other road users	Impartial
Make builders provide adequate parking for all homes. Most houses have no parking allocated, yet have 2 cars. This leads to people parking on the road. The problem is greed, more houses = more money, more parking = less houses = less profit.	Impartial
Persistent evaders - where there are five or more recorded parking contraventions for the vehicle and for which the penalties have not been paid.	Impartial
I am aware there is a DVLA database on which registered car owners (and addresses) can be identified in moments. Which means a car registered to a bona fide disabled person who has forgotten to display their blue badge can be quickly identified, giving scope for leeway - for example, a parking ticket (and fine) rather than towaway. Do Bucks CC parking wardens have access to this database (or could get it)? Might avoid the trauma of a forgetful disabled person (possibly wheelchair-user)	Impartial
There is no point on commenting. Why have parking consultations which are subsequently approved and then not implemented? We have been waiting for no verge parking signs to be installed in Lock Road, Marlow since Aug 2019 following	Impartial
In order to show that this is about clearing the streets and reducing inappropriate parking please show how you intend to make more free parking (not a permit system or private car park with charges) available locally to these residents. Because of the reduction in local businesses households now have to travel for work and other services which due to the expense and standard of public transport necessitates the ownership of private transport. This is the root cause of the	Impartial
I have concerns that this policy could result in overzealous removal / compounding / distraction of vehicles if registered keepers are unable to be contacted / traced particularly during covid where people may be ill, self isolated etc My son had his car break down in Oxford during lockdown in 2020 and was unable to retrieve it. It was registered to him and road legal but within the month he received a letter saying it had been reported as abandoned and was going to be destroyed.	Impartial
Is vehicles parked at junctions covered?	Impartial
This is a problem on our estate.	
Cars Vans and Trucks are parked on Wordsworth Road High Wycombe the owners are not residence. They park close to the edge of driveways or opposite driveways often leaving them for days. This causes problems when trying to get out of your own driveway and passing vehicles have difficulty maneuvering past. There was an incident when an ambulance was unable to pass or even park outside the house it was visiting. There seems to be little concern how they park with no regard to the	Impartial
My concern is the parking of untaxed vehicles which are being sold by a resident living in the street.	Impartial
Non-resident cars and large pick up trucks parked in Poets corner	Impartial
The constant use of parking on roadside on a residential area.	Impartial
Every day I see vehicles parked on double yellow lines also on pavements where people have to walk onto the road to pass. One of the worst areas is Green Street and Desborough Road in High Wycombe where traffic wardens often ignore offenders.	Impartial
Its all very well having double yellow lines and policies for vehicle removal but if there is not any people to enforce any of it	Impartial
In a village like ours (Seer Green) there are a number of cottages without any garages. Residents are forced to park on the street and normally create no obstructions.The problems arise when visitors come to the local pub and start parking on the narrow street and on the pavement causing unnecessary congestion and dangerous	Impartial
The policy gives sweeping powers to remove vehicles in circumstances that on the face of it suggest serious foul play by the motorist. It must be overseen and leeway shown where there is good evidence that it is not foul play and not simply "policy says it's ok". The last thing we want to create is a soulless regime where those who are unwittingly caught out are given	Impartial
My comments relate to the interaction between the Council and Council Tax Payers. There appears to be little or no recognition to the responsibility the Council has to enable members of the public to a. report a vehicle, b. receive acknowledgement that a reported vehicle has been registered as requiring investigation by the Council, or c. to receive feedback on action taken	Impartial
As a Parish Council Chairman I reported a foreign vehicle abandoned on the roadside via Fix my Street. The case was unilaterally closed without reason or explanation. Despite chasing i had to request involvement of our local member Cllr Jane MacBean, to chase. It took 11months for the vehicle to be removed despite energetic and persistent efforts by Cllr MacBean.	

<p>Recovery vehicles, sometimes with cars loaded on top parked on roadside.  Cars parked on bends.  Cars parked adjacent on either side of road, making it difficult for cars and emergency vehicles to get through.  Parking on grass verges.</p>	Impartial
<p>This is a joke and another way for council to make money</p> <p>Issue people with parking tickets 🚗</p> <p>The council want the car removed so that's person will have to pay for the recovery cost and other added cost what a joke</p> <p>I hope our local councils in Wycombe stop this from happening</p>	No
<p>Too extreme to remove vehicles. People sometimes have to park on pavements due to narrow roads.</p>	No
<p>I do not think bringing this in would be a good idea. It will end up being policed by over-zealous parking wardens who will delight in getting cars towed away without a moment's hesitation rather than the serial offenders. No-one wants poor parking to prevent an emergency vehicle from getting through, for example, but I really don't think it's introduction will work to our advantage in reality.</p> <p>Therefore, I urge you to drop this proposal.</p>	No
<p>Having a Blue Badge is a privilege, so we all want to discourage illegal use of these badges and their associated parking concessions. But this policy would be draconian.</p> <p>It's excessive to tow vehicles away and fine Blue Badge holders if they accidentally either forget to display the badge or contravene parking regulations, without causing any obstruction or danger. How will the Blue Badge holder recover their car without access to a vehicle? Depriving a disabled person of their wheels is like removing their legs.</p>	No