



Delegated Decisions Made by Officers

Regulation 7 of the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 requires a written record to be produced as soon as reasonably practicable after an Officer has made a decision under delegation.

This means that in order to comply with these new requirements, Officers discharging delegated powers which a) grant a permission or licence; b) affect the rights of an individual or c) award a contract or incur expenditure which in either case materially affects the Council's financial position, must complete the form below - and send it to democraticservices@southbucks.gov.uk - for publication on the Council website in accordance with the Regulations.

1. Name and role of officer: Bob Smith, Director of Services

2. Date of decision: 20 10 2014

3. Summary of the decision: *Please provide details of what has been agreed*

That the Council issues proceedings under sec.288 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to challenge the Secretary of State's Decision dated 17th September 2014 to grant prior approval for permitted development for a state funded school under Part 3 Class K of schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) (England) Order 2013 at Pioneer House Hollybush Hill Stoke Poges

4. Reasons for the decision: *Please detail how the decision was arrived at*

Having carefully considered a report from the Head of Legal and Democratic Services to the Planning Consultative Body, together with the Secretary of State's decision letter of 17 September 2014, the Inspector's report and advice from Counsel regarding the legality of the decision, I am satisfied that in relation to noise impacts and mitigation, this decision is poorly reasoned and legally flawed. Accordingly I consider it is expedient to challenge the decision in the interests of promoting and protecting the interests of inhabitants of the area, namely the residents of Stoke Poges adversely affected by noise impacts from the continued use of the site as a state funded school.

5. When making the decision did the officer take into account information from another report? Yes X No

6. Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the officer when making the decision: *Please detail other alternative options that were considered (even if rejected)*

The other option considered and rejected was not to issue proceedings

7. (a) Details of any conflict of interests declared by any Member who was consulted regarding the decision:

Were any interests declared by the Member(s)? No

If yes, Click here to select Portfolio/Member

Classification: OFFICIAL

Type of interest (1): [Click here to select interest](#)
Nature of interest (1):

Type of interest (2): [Click here to select interest](#)
Nature of interest (2):

- (b) **Note of dispensation:** *A note of dispensation may be granted by the Head of Paid Service in relation to Members' conflicts of interest. Please provide details below.*

Date Notice Published: 21 October 2014

Classification: OFFICIAL