



Report to the Leader (Communities portfolios)

Decision Date: 15 February 2023

Title: **Extension of four Public Spaces Protection Orders**

Reference No: **CO01.23**

Cabinet Member(s): Councillor Martin Tett and Councillor Steve Bowles

Contact Officer: Abdul Rahim, Community Safety Manager (Operational)
Abdul.Rahim@buckinghamshire.gov.uk

Ward(s) affected:

Dorney Lake - Cliveden Ward

Chiltern Car Parks- Amersham and Chesham Bois, Chalfont, St Giles, Chalfont St Peter, Chesham, Chiltern Villages, Great Missenden, Little Chalfont and Amersham Common, Penn Wood and Old Amersham, Chess Valley, Chiltern Ridges, Ridgeway East, Ridgeway West, Terriers & Amersham Hill Ward.

Desborough Road- Booker, Cressex and Castlefield Ward.

High Wycombe Town Centre- Abbey Ward, Terriers & Amersham Hill Ward.

Recommendations:

- **To extend the following Public Spaces Protection Orders for three years, until 2026:**
 - **Dorney Lake**
 - **Desborough Road High Wycombe**
 - **High Wycombe Town Centre**
 - **Chiltern Designated Car Parks**

Reason for decision:

- The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 gives Buckinghamshire Council the power to create, extend, vary and discharge Public Spaces Protection Orders (PSPO). These Orders give the Council greater powers in relation to dealing with anti-social behaviour in public spaces.

- PSPOs can only be in place for a maximum of three years, and a consultation needs to be carried out in order to create and subsequently extend those identified as they expire in March and April 2023.
- In line with the legislation, a consultation exercise was carried out for each of the listed PSPOs to establish whether there was support from both key stakeholders and residents to extend them for a further three years. Feedback was supportive and a decision is now required to enable the PSPOs to be extended.

1 Executive summary

- 1.1 The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 introduced the Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) which is intended to deal with a particular nuisance or problem in a specific area that is detrimental to the local community's quality of life, by imposing conditions on the use of that area which apply to everyone using it. It is intended to help ensure that the law-abiding majority can use and enjoy a public space, safe from anti-social behaviour. The Council is responsible for creating PSPOs.
- 1.2 Buckinghamshire Council has four PSPOs which are nearing their expiry date. Before a PSPO can be extended, a public and key stakeholder consultation needs to be undertaken to ascertain views on whether each Order will continue to tackle the behaviours outlined within it.
- 1.3 The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 states that before the time when a PSPO is due to expire, the relevant local authority may extend the period it is in effect, if satisfied, on reasonable grounds, that doing so is necessary to prevent:
- a) occurrence or recurrence after that time of the activities identified in the order, or
 - b) an increase in the frequency or seriousness of those activities after that time.
- 1.4 This report provides a summary of the consultation findings for each of the PSPOs and outlines the options available.

2 Public Spaces Protection Orders

- 2.1 The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act came into force in October 2014. The Act contains provisions for PSPOs. Local authorities have the power to implement a PSPO, if satisfied, on reasonable grounds, that two conditions have been met. The first condition is that:
- activities carried out in a public place within the authority's area have had a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality, or

- it is likely that activities will be carried out in a public place within that area and that they will have such an effect.

The second condition is that the effect, or likely effect, of the activities:

- is, or is likely to be, of a persistent or continuing nature
- is, or is likely to be, such as to make the activities unreasonable, and justifies the restriction imposed by the notice.

- 2.2 A PSPO is an Order that identifies the geographic space to which it applies (“the restricted area” within which the impact has or is likely to occur) and can make requirements, or prohibitions, or both within the area. This means that the local authority can, by virtue of the Order, require people to do specific things in a particular area, or prohibit doing specific things in a particular area. The local authority can enforce the prohibitions/requirements where it believes that they are reasonable in order to prevent or reduce the detrimental impact. The Order can be made to apply at all times, or within specified times, and equally to all circumstances, or special circumstances. The Order applies for a maximum of three years, after which the process of review and consultation must be repeated to ensure the issues are still occurring and the Order is having the required effect. Thereafter, following appropriate review and consultation, the Order can be extended for further periods.
- 2.3 PSPOs can be enforced by delegated officers within Buckinghamshire Council, and Police Officers. Within the Council different services have enforcement powers which may be relevant to PSPOs, for example Environmental Health for dog control, Licensing for appropriate retail sales and premises serving alcohol, Planning for unsightly properties, Waste Management and Enforcement for littering and fly tipping. Community Safety’s Anti-Social Behaviour Team leads on the process of creating PSPOs with enforcement undertaken by them, the relevant Council service and/or Thames Valley Police.
- 2.4 Failure to comply with either a prohibition, or requirement, within an Order is an offence. Upon summary conviction (offences heard within a Magistrates Court) defendants can face a fine not exceeding level three on the standard scale (currently £1,000). The defendant cannot be found guilty of an offence under a prohibition/requirement where the local authority did not have the power to include it in the Order. Breaches of the Order can also be discharged by a Fixed Penalty Notice (FPN) which can be issued by either delegated officers within the local authority, or Police Officers.

2.5 Section 72 of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 sets out the necessary consultation, notifications and publicity which must be carried out before creating or extending the period for which a Public Spaces Protection Order has effect.

3 PSPO extensions

3.1 At present, the following PSPOs are due to expire in March and April 2023:

- **Dorney Lake PSPO** - This order restricts the consumption of alcohol in breach of an authorised officer's request to cease its consumption; Having an unsealed container of alcohol, in breach of an authorised officer's request to surrender the alcohol or container; The consumption of any psychoactive substance (colloquially known as a legal high) or possessing a psychoactive substance in breach of an authorised officer's request to surrender it ; Using behaviour towards another person (whether on the land or in the lake) which may reasonably be perceived to be threatening, aggressive or intimidating; Entering the lake in a manner which is reasonably likely to harm any other person in the water; using foul or abusive language, commits an offence.
- **Desborough Road High Wycombe PSPO** - within the restricted area, the effect of the Order is to impose the following prohibition at all times: In the restricted area any person who continues to carry out the following activities from which they are prohibited commits an offence:
Person(s) shall not engage, loiter or solicit with a view to engaging in obtaining sexual services.
- **High Wycombe Town Centre PSPO** –In the restricted area any person who continues to carry out the following activities from which they are prohibited commits an offence: **Alcohol** – any person is prohibited from, consuming alcohol or being in possession of an open container of alcohol, in the Restricted Area subject to the exemptions listed in Schedule 2.
- **Chiltern Designated Car Parks PSPO** - The public places within which the activities set out in paragraph 3 below are prohibited ("the Restricted Area") are respectively shown edged with a red line on the plans in the Appendix to this Order and comprise:
 - Multi-Storey Car Park, King George V Road, Amersham, HP6 5AP
 - Chilton Lifestyle Centre, Chiltern Avenue, Amersham on the Hill, HP6 5AH
 - Chiltern Avenue Car Park, Chiltern Avenue, Amersham-on-the-Hill, HP6 5 AH
 - Civic Centre Car Park, King George V Road, Amersham-on-the-Hill, HP65AW

- Council Offices Car Park, King George V Road, Amersham HP6 5AW
 - Sycamore Road Car Park, Rickmansworth Road, Amersham, HP6 5EQ
 - Amersham Old Town Car Park, The Broadway, Amersham Old Town, HP7 OHL
 - Watermeadow Car Park, Weylands Court, Germain Street, Chesham, HP5 ILF
 - Star Yard Car Park, Blucher Street, Chesham, HP5 IDS
 - Catlins Car Park, Blucher Street, Chesham, HP5 IDS
 - Albany Car Park, St Marys Way, Chesham, HP5 ILL
 - East Street Car Park, East Street, Chesham, HP5 IDA
 - Chesham Station Car Park, The Backs, Chesham, HP5 IDB
 - Moor Road Car Park, Moor Road, Chesham, HP5 ISE
 - Buryfield Car Park, Link Road, Great Missenden HP16 9AE
 - Link Road Car Park, Link Road, Great Missenden, HP16 9AE
 - Prestwood High Street Car Park, High Street, Prestwood, HP16 9ER
 - Blizzards Yard Car Park, High Street, Chalfont St Giles, HP8 4QA
 - Snells Wood Car Park, Cokes Lane, Little Chalfont, HP7 9QA
 - Church Lane Car Park, Church Lane, Chalfont St Peter, SL9 9OS
 - Cheena Meadow Car Park, Denham Lane, Chalfont St Peter, SL9 OQJ
- The activities which are to be prohibited are the use of a motor vehicle, or creation of noise from a motor vehicle, or behaviour by a person in a way likely to cause nuisance or annoyance to a member of the public, or a risk of harm, damage or injury to a person or property.

Therefore the following Restrictions apply in the Restricted Area:

1.1 No person being a driver of, or in control of a motor vehicle in any part of the Restricted Area, shall:

- Rev (i.e. accelerate, whilst the vehicle's clutch is disengaged) an engine of a motor vehicle;
- Suddenly or rapidly accelerate a motor vehicle;
- Race with any other motor vehicle;
- Leave the engine of a motor vehicle running on idle other than when queueing to park or depart;
- Perform any motor vehicle stunts;
- Sound a horn repetitively; or play music audible outside of the motor vehicle

1.2 No person in any part of the Restricted Area shall:

- Use behaviour towards another person reasonably perceived to be threatening, aggressive or intimidating;
- Use foul or abusive language;
- Gather or loiter with any other person(s) in a manner which is (or may reasonably be perceived as) intimidating or threatening to any other member of the public;
- Use any part of the Restricted Area for skating (whether using in-line or roller skates), skateboarding or playing ball games;

- Use any part of the Restricted Area for cycling in a manner which is (or may reasonably be perceived as) intimidating or threatening to any other member of the public;

3.2 In March and April 2023, these PSPOs will expire. The ability to enforce the prohibitions detailed in them will no longer be available to the police or delegated Council officers. The Council has the ability, following appropriate consultation, to extend the PSPOs if it believes the anti-social behaviour will recur.

4 Consultation

4.1 The Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 is not specific on what constitutes an appropriate consultation; however, it is clear that it requires the local authority consult with the following:

- Chief Officer of Police for the local area
- Residents
- Landowners in the area
- Any community representative the local authority considers appropriate

4.2 An online survey was designed for each PSPO. These were advertised on the external web pages and promoted through social media, community board newsletters and Town and Parish Council newsletters. Responses received were anonymous and therefore details of individual respondents and their views are not known. This is the usual approach for consultations of this nature. Appendix A contains detailed information on the consultation that was undertaken for each of the PSPO's. Below is a summary of the responses for each.

4.3 Dorney Lake PSPO. In this area the PSPO was used to control the consumption of alcohol, consumption of any psychoactive substance (colloquially known as a legal high) or possessing a psychoactive substance, using behaviour towards another person (whether on the land or in the lake) which may reasonably be perceived to be threatening, aggressive or intimidating; Entering the lake in a manner which is reasonably likely to harm any other person in the water; using foul or abusive language. 82.14% of those who took part in the consultation agreed that the order should continue.

Details were sent to:

- Eton College who own the lake
- Ward Councillors
- Wycombe LPA Superintendent Thames Valley Police
- Town/Parish Councils
- Safer Buckuckinghamshire Boards

The consultation indicates 57.14% of respondents believe the PSPO has helped reduce ASB in the area. Responses from the Police indicate the levels of complaints have reduced and officers from the Police and Council when out on patrol have noted a decrease in the prohibited activity since the introduction of the PSPO. Therefore, as the the relevant local authority we are satisfied, on reasonable grounds, that extending the order is necessary to prevent the occurrence or recurrence of this activity. Without the order in place there is likely to be an increase in the frequency or seriousness of these activities.

- 4.4 Desborough Road PSPO. In this area the order was used to control the loitering and procurement of individuals for the purposes of obtaining sexual services. This behaviour is reasonably perceived to be threatening or intimidating. 100.00% of those who took part in the consultation agreed that the order should continue. Details were sent to:

- Ward Councillors
- Wycombe LPA Superintendent Thames Valley Police
- Town/Parish Councils
- Safer Buckuckinghamshire Boards

The consultation indicates 33.33% of respondents believe the PSPO has helped reduce ASB in the area. Responses from the Police indicate the levels of complaints have reduced and officers from the Police and Council when out on patrol have noted a decrease in the prohibited activity since the introduction of the PSPO. Therefore, as the the relevant local authority we are satisfied, on reasonable grounds, that extending the order is necessary to prevent the occurrence or recurrence of this activity. Without the order in place there is likely to be an increase in the frequency or seriousness of these activities.

- 4.5 High Wycombe Town Centre. Introduced to control alcohol-related anti-social behavior, prohibiting the consuming of alcohol or being in possession of an open container of alcohol within the restricted area (subject to the exemptions listed in Schedule 2).. 94.12% of those who responded to the consultation agreed that the order continue with the suggested variation.

Details were sent to:

- Ward Councillors
- Wycombe LPA Superintendent Thames Valley Police
- Town/Parish Councils
- Safer Buckuckinghamshire Boards

The consultation indicates 11.76% of respondents believe the PSPO has helped reduce ASB in the area. Responses from the Police indicate the levels of complaints have reduced and officers from the Police and Council when out on patrol have noted a decrease in the prohibited activity since the introduction of the PSPO. Therefore, as the the relevant local authority we are satisfied, on reasonable grounds, that extending the order is necessary to prevent the occurrence or recurrence of this activity. Without the order in place there is likely to be an increase in the frequency or seriousness of these activities.

- 4.6 Chiltern Designated Car Parks. This order was introduced to deal with anti-social behaviour carried out by way of the irresponsible use of motor vehicles in the car parks, and to discourage car meets. Behaviour included ‘doughnuts’, loud amplified music and the revving of engines. The order was also introduced to deter skating, skateboarders, ball games, and the use of abusive or intimidating language. 87.88% of those who responded to the consultation agreed that the order continue.

Details were sent to:

- Ward Councillors
- Wycombe LPA Superintendent Thames Valley Police
- Town/Parish Councils
- Safer Buckinghamshire Boards

The consultation indicates 27.27% of respondents believe the PSPO has helped reduce ASB in the area. Responses from the Police indicate the levels of complaints have reduced and officers from the Police and Council when out on patrol have noted a decrease in the prohibited activity since the introduction of the PSPO. Therefore, as the the relevant local authority we are satisfied, on reasonable grounds, that extending the order is necessary to prevent the occurrence or recurrence of this activity. Without the order in place there is likely to be an increase in the frequency or seriousness of these activities.

- 4.7 An online consultation survey was produced for all the PSPO’s subject to extension, and was published on the Buckinghamshire Council website. This was promoted via the Community Safety Newsletters, Community Board and various social media messages. Responses were received from 28 residents, 82.14% wanting the PSPO’s to be extended.

- 4.8 Thames Valley Police responded and are in favour of the PSPO’s being extended.

5 Options considered

- 5.1 For each of the PSPOs there are three options:
1. Extend the PSPO for a further two years

2. Extend the PSPO for the maximum three years
3. Discharge the PSPO

- 5.2 PSPOs can be extended for up to three years. The previous review led to an extension for two years, whilst processes and policies in the new authority were aligned. All PSPOs within Buckinghamshire are now routinely reviewed as they near expiry. It is recommended the renewals for these PSPOs will be for the maximum of three years.
- 5.3 The consultation and stakeholder responses received indicate there is strong support for extending all of these PSPOs. Further, the grounds under which the orders were originally introduced as set out in S.59 of the Anti-Social Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014 still remain.
- 5.4 The discharge of the orders will result in the prohibited activities occurring, reoccurring or increasing in frequency and will cause a detriment to the quality of life of those in the localities. The extensions are therefore considered necessary to prevent this from happening.
- 5.5 It is recommended the council and the leader approve option two and extend all the PSPO's subject of this report for a further three years, the maximum time allowed.

6 Legal and financial implications

- 6.1 This report recommends action be taken by the Authority in accordance with legislation, and involves subsequent legal enforcement relevant to that action. The legal parameters laid out within the Act and as set out in the report should be considered carefully against the proposal to extend the PSPOs.
- 6.2 The penalties for breaches of an Order are fines following a prosecution or Fixed Penalty Notices (FPN) can be issued where appropriate by authorised officers, currently this is limited to the Thames Valley Police and Buckinghamshire Council Community safety Officers.
- 6.3 Charges for any FPN issued are processed by and payable to Buckinghamshire Council, regardless of who has undertaken the enforcement action.
- 6.4 Failure to pay any FPN issued, regardless of the issuing body, will result in the offender being liable to prosecution and subsequent conviction for the original breach of the order.
- 6.5 Financial costs associated with any legal action are borne by Buckinghamshire Council Community Safety Team from their existing budget. Currently no FPN's issued have resulted in prosecution at court. Therefore, there are no true costs available for this report. Discussions with Buckinghamshire Council Legal Services

team indicate the costs should any matter proceed to court are expected to be in the region for £350 - £500, depending on the nature of the case, provided there are no appeals or unexpected complications.

7 Corporate Implications

- 7.1 Extending these PSPOs will meet the Buckinghamshire Council's priority of Protecting the Vulnerable by making communities as safe as possible from crime, drugs and anti-social behaviour.
- 7.2 Equality Impact Assessments (EqIA) have been completed in accordance with the Equality Act 2010. These have considered the potential impact of the orders and their continued extension on residents and employees of the council.
- 7.3 No negative consequences or potential detriment have been identified from undertaking EqIAs for the orders subject of this report, as they are intended to address behaviour and activities in an area and do not impact on any protected characteristics as identified within the Equality Act 2010.

8 Next steps and review

- 8.1 The Cabinet Member to take to the Leader for delegated decision on whether to extend the PSPOs for three years. Community Safety will update the Co-ordinator and Chair of the relevant Community Boards on progress.

9 Background papers

[Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014](#)

[Appendix B- Original Orders](#)

Your questions and views (for key decisions)

If you have any questions about the matters contained in this report please get in touch with the author of this report. If you have any views that you would like the cabinet member to consider please inform the democratic services team. This can be done by email [Abdul.Rahim@buckinghamshire.gov.uk]

Consultation results:

**Supporting letter from
Thames Valley Police**

Wycombe Police Station
Queen Victoria Road
High Wycombe
HP1 1BE

Stuart McNair

Anti-Social Behaviour Team Leader
Community Safety, Public Health and Early Prevention
Adults and Health Directorate
Buckinghamshire Council

Via email to: Stuart.Mcnair@buckinghamshire.gov.uk

Date: 15 February 2023

RE: PUBLIC SPACE PROTECTION ORDERS – REVIEW 2022

Dear Stuart,

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the consultation on the extension of the Public Space Protection Orders (PSPOs) currently being considered across High Wycombe and South Buckinghamshire. PSPOs are a key tool used within policing in support of the Community Safety Partnership's aim for Buckinghamshire to continue to be one of the safest places to grow up, raise a family, live, work and do business. PSPOs give our officers and staff the legal framework to deal with anti-social behaviour in areas of particular concern.

The Council has the power to implement a PSPO, if satisfied, on reasonable grounds, that two conditions have been met. The first condition is that: *“activities carried out in a public place within the authority’s area have had a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality, or it is likely that activities will be carried out in a public place within that area and that they will have such an effect”*. The second condition is that *“the effect, or likely effect, of the activities: is, or is likely to be, of a persistent or continuing nature or is, or is likely to be, such as to make the activities unreasonable, and justifies the restriction imposed by the notice”*.

Chiltern Car Parks

This PSPO covers numerous car parks across the former Chiltern district. Notable examples include: Link Road Car Park in Great Missenden; the Multi-Storey Car Park in King George V Road, Amersham; and Watermeadow Car Park, Chesham. These are established locations of anti-social behaviour, often including the presence of vehicles. With overnight barriers considered financially untenable, this order serves to manage the customary congregation of young drivers, and their inclination to use these sites inappropriately; annoying nearby residents. The Order has been utilised as a key feature of successive police operations to tackle anti-social behaviour at these locations. Over the past three years (2019 to 2022), 7 police operations have focused on King George V Road; 5 at Watermeadow Car Park; and 3 at Link Road. In that time, approximately 750 incidents of anti-social behaviour were recorded across all of these locations, with the vast majority linked to vehicles. Recorded offences include 7 which specifically relate to a breach of PSPO, and 37 where some form of formal intervention resulted.

Dorney Lake

Whilst recognised as a local leisure venue for many years, 2019 saw Dorney Lake become an increasingly popular recreational destination for young people; drawing attendance across a catchment area of over 50 miles. With a daily attendance of many hundreds over summer months, it was unsurprising that security personnel fast became overwhelmed and called upon police to help manage the behaviour of attendees. Alongside this escalating public appeal came a sharp rise in criminality - 6 Robberies, 4 Assaults and 6 Theft offences were recorded at the site during a 6 month period of that year alone. Levels of offending continued into 2020 and police approached local authority partners in tackling this burgeoning issue. The resulting PSPO prohibited a variety of behaviours, which had been shown to be contributory factors to such offences, and this was publicised by explanatory signage. Within a period of successive COVID-19 lockdowns, such public gatherings became increasingly important to control and the location was eventually subject to a site-wide closure. However, the utilisation of these legislative provisions assisted in deterring inappropriate behaviour and curbed rising offending rates. The lake is now closed to the public which has resulted in a significant decrease in the number of incidents.

Desborough Road and High Wycombe Town Centre

We are aware from the regularity of calls for service, as well as the experiences of our officers, that these locations have experienced recurring and persistent anti-social behaviour. Specifically naming Frogmoor, All Saints Church Yard and Desborough Road where there have been issues caused by street drinking. The PSPO has been a key part of our response to these incidents, especially using the prohibition "Any person is prohibited from, at any time, consuming alcohol or having an open container for alcohol, in the restricted area". Whilst we have rarely had to enforce the PSPOs through the use of fixed penalty notices, the powers have enabled officers to deal with the ASB effectively, engaging with problem individuals and encouraging compliance. Without

the PSPO, we believe it would be difficult to encourage positive behaviours and deal with the ASB robustly.

Furthermore, we have successfully utilised the powers under the PSPO when policing annual events such as Frog Fest, Desborough Road Carnival, Christmas Lights Switch on and many more. This also includes policing the night time economy. This has significantly reduced ASB, crime and disorder as officers were able to prevent escalation and encourage compliance. These powers are also used on a daily basis by the Neighbourhood Policing Team who work closely with Bucks Council Street Wardens. A specific operation targeting ASB in Desborough and the Town Centre has been ran by the Neighbourhood Team and Street wardens where the PSPO has been crucial in dealing with the issues and resulted in positive action. Ultimately this has helped make High Wycombe a safer, more desirable place for local businesses and the public.

We strongly believe that the PSPOs helps us to increase the quality of life in the locality; without it, the ASB is likely to increase and cause detriment to the public, local business and enforcement agencies. Having the PSPOs allows us to maintain a positive working relationship with Council staff as a multi-agency approach to tackling ongoing problems in the locality

For the reasons outlined above, in each case, the PSPOs being consulted on support our work in protecting our communities to safely enjoy those locations.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Emma Burroughs', written in a cursive style.

Superintendent Emma Burroughs

Survey Response Reports:

Chiltern area car parks Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) consultation 2022

<https://yourvoicebucks.citizenspace.com/communities/chiltern-parking-pspo-2022>

This report was created on Tuesday 29 November 2022 at 13:08


The activity ran from 31/10/2022 to 28/11/2022

Responses to this survey: **33**

- Do you think there is a problem with the anti-social behaviours outlined above in any of the car parks of the Public Spaces Protection Order?

ASB problem

There were 33 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	16	48.48%
No	6	18.18%
I don't know	11	33.33%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you think that the anti-social behaviours outlined above have reduced in any of the Chiltern area car parks since the Public Spaces Protection Order was introduced in May 2018?

PSPO impact

There were 33 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	9	27.27%
No	5	15.15%
I don't know	19	57.58%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you think that the Chiltern area car parks Public Spaces Protection Order should be extended for a further 3 years?

Agree with proposal

There were 33 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Yes	29	87.88%
No	2	6.06%

I don't know	2	6.06%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Reasons

There were 27 responses to this part of the question.

- If you have any other comments about the Chiltern area car parks Public Spaces Protection Order, please tell us them here:


Other comments

There were 12 responses to this part of the question.

- Which of the following Chiltern area car parks do you use? (Select all that apply)

Amersham


There were 25 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Amersham - Amersham Old Town Car Park, The Broadway, Amersham Old Town	18	54.55%
Amersham - Chiltern Avenue Car Park, Chiltern Avenue, Amersham-on-the-Hill	12	36.36%
Amersham - Chiltern Pools Car Park, Chiltern Avenue, Amersham on the Hill	11	33.33%
Amersham - Civic Centre Car Park, King George V Road, Amersham-on-the-Hill	8	24.24%
Amersham - Council Offices Car Park, King George V Road, Amersham	9	27.27%
Amersham - Multi-Storey Car Park, King George V Road, Amersham	11	33.33%
Amersham - Sycamore Road Car Park, Rickmansworth Road, Amersham	18	54.55%
Not Answered	8	24.24%

Chalfonts


There were 13 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Chalfonts - Blizzards Yard Car Park, High Street, Chalfont St Giles	6	18.18%
Chalfonts - Cheena Meadow Car Park, Denham Lane, Chalfont St Peter	1	3.03%
Chalfonts - Church Lane Car Park, Church Lane, Chalfont St Peter	7	21.21%
Chalfonts - Snells Wood Car Park, Cokes Lane, Little Chalfont	8	24.24%
Not Answered	20	60.61%

Chesham


There were 21 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Chesham - Albany Car Park, St Marys Way, Chesham	11	33.33%
Chesham - Catlins Car Park, Blucher Street Chesham	7	21.21%
Chesham - Chesham Station Car Park, The Backs, Chesham	4	12.12%
Chesham - East Street Car Park, East Street, Chesham	4	12.12%
Chesham - Moor Road Car Park, Moor Road, Chesham	6	18.18%
Chesham - Star Yard Car Park, Blucher Street Chesham	14	42.42%
Chesham - Watermeadow Car Park, Weylands Court, Germain Street Chesham	11	33.33%
Not Answered	12	36.36%

Great Missenden


There were 4 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Great Missenden - Buryfield Car Park, Link Road, Great Missenden	3	9.09%
Great Missenden - Link Road Car Park, Link Road, Great Missenden	4	12.12%
Not Answered	29	87.88%

Prestwood


There were 3 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Prestwood - Prestwood High Street Car Park, High Street, Prestwood	3	9.09%
Not Answered	30	90.91%

None

There were 3 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
None of the above	3	9.09%
Not Answered	30	90.91%

- Which of the following describe you? (Select all that apply)

Capacity

There were 32 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
I live in the Chiltern area of Buckinghamshire	27	81.82%
I work in the Chiltern area of Buckinghamshire	10	30.30%
I visit the Chiltern area of Buckinghamshire	2	6.06%
I represent or own a business in Buckinghamshire	2	6.06%
I represent a community or voluntary group in Buckinghamshire	1	3.03%
I am an elected representative in Buckinghamshire	3	9.09%
I work for Buckinghamshire Council	1	3.03%
Other (please give details below):	2	6.06%
Not Answered	1	3.03%


Other

There were 2 responses to this part of the question.

- Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation (e.g. a business or a voluntary group)?

Individual or organisation

There were 33 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
As an individual	30	90.91%
On behalf of an organisation	3	9.09%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- How did you find out about this consultation? (Select all that apply)

Find out

There were 27 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Local media (newspaper, radio or TV)	0	0.00%
Social media (Facebook, Twitter)	4	12.12%
Nextdoor	6	18.18%
Buckinghamshire Council website or Your Voice Bucks website	6	18.18%
Word of mouth	1	3.03%
Local Community Board	2	6.06%
Local Councillor	1	3.03%
Local Parish / Town Council	1	3.03%
Other (please give details below):	8	24.24%
Not Answered	6	18.18%

Other

There were 13 responses to this part of the question.

- Please provide the following details:

Name of organisation:

There were 3 responses to this part of the question.

Your job title:

There were 2 responses to this part of the question.

- What is your postcode?


Postcode

There were 24 responses to this part of the question.

- What is your age?

Age

There were 30 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Under 16	0	0.00%
16 to 24	0	0.00%
25 to 34	0	0.00%
35 to 44	2	6.06%
45 to 54	4	12.12%
55 to 64	6	18.18%
65 to 74	10	30.30%
75 to 84	5	15.15%
Over 85	2	6.06%
Prefer not to say	1	3.03%
Not Answered	3	9.09%

- Are you:

Are you

There were 30 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Female	11	33.33%
Male	17	51.52%
Prefer not to say	2	6.06%
Not Answered	3	9.09%

- Do you consider yourself to have a disability / disabilities, impairment(s) or long term health condition(s)? (Select all that apply)

Disability / impairment / long term health condition

There were 30 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Disability / disabilities	3	9.09%
Impairment(s)	0	0.00%
Long term health condition(s)	1	3.03%
No	24	72.73%
Prefer not to say	2	6.06%
Not Answered	3	9.09%

- How would you describe your ethnicity?

Ethnicity

There were 29 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Asian - British	0	0.00%
Asian - Bangladeshi	0	0.00%
Asian - Chinese	0	0.00%
Asian - Indian	0	0.00%
Asian - Pakistani	0	0.00%
Any other Asian background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Black - African	0	0.00%
Black - British	0	0.00%
Black - Caribbean	0	0.00%
Any other Black, African or Caribbean background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Asian	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black African	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black British	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black Caribbean	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - Mixed or Multiple Ethnic backgrounds British	0	0.00%

Any other Mixed or Multiple ethnic background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
White - English, Welsh, Scottish, Northern Irish or British	25	75.76%
White - Irish	0	0.00%
White - Gypsy or English traveller	0	0.00%
White - Irish Traveller	0	0.00%
White - European	2	6.06%
Any other White background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Arab	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Arab British	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Prefer not to say	2	6.06%
Not Answered	4	12.12%

Other

There was 1 response to this part of the question.

Desborough Road, High Wycombe Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) consultation 2022

<https://yourvoicebucks.citizenspace.com/communities/desborough-road-pspo-2022>

This report was created on Tuesday 29 November 2022 at 13:11


The activity ran from 31/10/2022 to 28/11/2022

Responses to this survey: 9

- Do you think there is a problem with the anti-social behaviours outlined above within the area of the Public Spaces Protection Order?

ASB problem

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	8	88.89%
No	0	0.00%
I don't know	1	11.11%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you think that the anti-social behaviours outlined above have reduced in the Desborough Road area since the Public Spaces Protection Order was introduced in March 2020?

PSPO impact

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	3	33.33%
No	2	22.22%
I don't know	4	44.44%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you think that the Desborough Road Public Spaces Protection Order should be extended for a further 3 years?

Agree with proposal

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Yes	9	100.00%
No	0	0.00%
I don't know	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Reasons

There were 5 responses to this part of the question.

- If you have any other comments about the Desborough Road Public Spaces Protection Order, please tell us them here:


Other comments

There were 2 responses to this part of the question.

- Which of the following describe you? (Select all that apply)

Capacity

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
I live in the Desborough Road area, High Wycombe	5	55.56%
I work in the Desborough Road area, High Wycombe	0	0.00%
I visit the Desborough Road area, High Wycombe	5	55.56%
I represent or own a business in Buckinghamshire	0	0.00%
I represent a community or voluntary group in Buckinghamshire	0	0.00%
I am an elected representative in Buckinghamshire	0	0.00%
I am a member of the High Wycombe Town Committee	0	0.00%
I work for Buckinghamshire Council	1	11.11%
Other (please give details below):	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%


Other

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

- Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation (e.g. a business or a voluntary group)?

Individual or organisation

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
As an individual	9	100.00%
On behalf of an organisation	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- How did you find out about this consultation? (Select all that apply)

Find out

There were 8 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Local media (newspaper, radio or TV)	0	0.00%
Social media (Facebook, Twitter)	2	22.22%
Nextdoor	0	0.00%
Buckinghamshire Council website or Your Voice Bucks website	4	44.44%
Word of mouth	2	22.22%
Local Community Board	0	0.00%
Local Councillor	0	0.00%
Local Parish / Town Council	0	0.00%
Other (please give details below):	0	0.00%
Not Answered	1	11.11%

Other

There was 1 response to this part of the question.

- Please provide the following details:

Name of organisation:

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

Your job title:

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

- What is your postcode?


Postcode

There were 8 responses to this part of the question.

- What is your age?

Age

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Under 16	0	0.00%
16 to 24	2	22.22%
25 to 34	2	22.22%
35 to 44	3	33.33%
45 to 54	0	0.00%
55 to 64	2	22.22%
65 to 74	0	0.00%
75 to 84	0	0.00%
Over 85	0	0.00%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Are you:

Are you

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Female	6	66.67%
Male	2	22.22%
Prefer not to say	1	11.11%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you consider yourself to have a disability / disabilities, impairment(s) or long term health condition(s)? (Select all that apply)

Disability / impairment / long term health condition

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Disability / disabilities	0	0.00%
Impairment(s)	1	11.11%
Long term health condition(s)	1	11.11%
No	6	66.67%
Prefer not to say	2	22.22%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- How would you describe your ethnicity?

Ethnicity

There were 9 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Asian - British	0	0.00%
Asian - Bangladeshi	0	0.00%
Asian - Chinese	0	0.00%
Asian - Indian	1	11.11%
Asian - Pakistani	0	0.00%
Any other Asian background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Black - African	0	0.00%
Black - British	0	0.00%
Black - Caribbean	0	0.00%

Any other Black, African or Caribbean background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Asian	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black African	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black British	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black Caribbean	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - Mixed or Multiple Ethnic backgrounds British	0	0.00%
Any other Mixed or Multiple ethnic background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
White - English, Welsh, Scottish, Northern Irish or British	7	77.78%
White - Irish	0	0.00%
White - Gypsy or English traveller	0	0.00%
White - Irish Traveller	0	0.00%
White - European	1	11.11%
Any other White background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Arab	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Arab British	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Other

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

Dorney Lake Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) consultation 2022
<https://yourvoicebucks.citizenspace.com/communities/dorney-lake-pspo-2022>

This report was created on Tuesday 29 November 2022 at 13:12


The activity ran from 31/10/2022 to 28/11/2022

Responses to this survey: **28**

- Do you think there is a problem with the anti-social behaviours outlined above within the area of the Public Spaces Protection Order?

ASB problem

There were 28 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	15	53.57%
No	8	28.57%
I don't know	5	17.86%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you think that the anti-social behaviours outlined above have reduced at Dorney Lake since the Public Spaces Protection Order was introduced in March 2020?

PSPO impact

There were 28 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	16	57.14%
No	2	7.14%
I don't know	10	35.71%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you think that the Dorney Lake Public Spaces Protection Order should be extended for a further three years?

Agree with proposal

There were 28 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Yes	23	82.14%
No	4	14.29%
I don't know	1	3.57%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Reasons

There were 20 responses to this part of the question.

- If you have any other comments about the Dorney Lake Public Spaces Protection Order, please tell us them here:


Other comments

There were 8 responses to this part of the question.

- Which of the following describe you? (Select all that apply)

Capacity

There were 28 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
I live near Dorney Lake	22	78.57%
I work near Dorney Lake	3	10.71%
I visit Dorney Lake	20	71.43%
I represent or own a business in Buckinghamshire	1	3.57%
I represent a community or voluntary group in Buckinghamshire	0	0.00%
I am an elected representative in Buckinghamshire	2	7.14%
I work for Buckinghamshire Council	0	0.00%
Other (please give details below):	4	14.29%
Not Answered	0	0.00%


Other

There were 5 responses to this part of the question.

- Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation (e.g. a business or a voluntary group)?

Individual or organisation

There were 28 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
As an individual	28	100.00%
On behalf of an organisation	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- How did you find out about this consultation? (Select all that apply)

Find out

There were 25 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Local media (newspaper, radio or TV)	1	3.57%
Social media (Facebook, Twitter)	5	17.86%
Nextdoor	5	17.86%
Buckinghamshire Council website or Your Voice Bucks website	6	21.43%
Word of mouth	6	21.43%
Local Community Board	1	3.57%
Local Councillor	1	3.57%

Local Parish / Town Council	2	7.14%
Other (please give details below):	3	10.71%
Not Answered	3	10.71%

Other

There were 7 responses to this part of the question.

- Please provide the following details:

Name of organisation:

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

Your job title:

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

- What is your postcode?


Postcode

There were 27 responses to this part of the question.

- What is your age?

Age

There were 28 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Under 16	0	0.00%
16 to 24	0	0.00%
25 to 34	1	3.57%
35 to 44	2	7.14%
45 to 54	6	21.43%
55 to 64	8	28.57%
65 to 74	8	28.57%
75 to 84	3	10.71%
Over 85	0	0.00%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Are you:

Are you

There were 28 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Female	14	50.00%
Male	14	50.00%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you consider yourself to have a disability / disabilities, impairment(s) or long term health condition(s)? (Select all that apply)

Disability / impairment / long term health condition

There were 28 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Disability / disabilities	1	3.57%
Impairment(s)	1	3.57%
Long term health condition(s)	3	10.71%
No	23	82.14%

Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- How would you describe your ethnicity?

Ethnicity

There were 27 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Asian - British	0	0.00%
Asian - Bangladeshi	0	0.00%
Asian - Chinese	0	0.00%
Asian - Indian	1	3.57%
Asian - Pakistani	0	0.00%
Any other Asian background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Black - African	0	0.00%
Black - British	0	0.00%
Black - Caribbean	0	0.00%
Any other Black, African or Caribbean background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Asian	0	0.00%

Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black African	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black British	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black Caribbean	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - Mixed or Multiple Ethnic backgrounds British	0	0.00%
Any other Mixed or Multiple ethnic background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
White - English, Welsh, Scottish, Northern Irish or British	25	89.29%
White - Irish	0	0.00%
White - Gypsy or English traveller	0	0.00%
White - Irish Traveller	0	0.00%
White - European	1	3.57%
Any other White background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Arab	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Arab British	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	1	3.57%

Other

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

High Wycombe Town Centre Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) consultation 2022
<https://yourvoicebucks.citizenspace.com/communities/high-wycombe-pspo-2022>

This report was created on Tuesday 29 November 2022 at 13:14


The activity ran from 31/10/2022 to 28/11/2022

Responses to this survey: **17**

- Do you think there is a problem with the anti-social behaviours outlined above within the area of the Public Spaces Protection Order?

ASB problem

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	13	76.47%
No	1	5.88%
I don't know	3	17.65%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you think that the anti-social behaviours outlined above have reduced in High Wycombe Town Centre since the Public Spaces Protection Order was introduced in March 2020?

PSPO impact

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Yes	2	11.76%
No	9	52.94%
I don't know	6	35.29%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you think that the High Wycombe Town Centre Public Spaces Protection Order should be varied and extended for a further 3 years?

Agree with proposal

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Yes	16	94.12%
No	0	0.00%
I don't know	1	5.88%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Reasons

There were 10 responses to this part of the question.

- If you have any other comments about the High Wycombe Town Centre Public Spaces Protection Order, please tell us them here:


Other comments

There were 6 responses to this part of the question.

- Which of the following describe you? (Select all that apply)

Capacity

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
I live in High Wycombe Town Centre	2	11.76%
I work in High Wycombe Town Centre	2	11.76%
I visit High Wycombe Town Centre	15	88.24%
I represent or own a business in Buckinghamshire	0	0.00%
I represent a community or voluntary group in Buckinghamshire	2	11.76%
I am an elected representative in Buckinghamshire	0	0.00%

I am a member of the High Wycombe Town Committee	0	0.00%
I work for Buckinghamshire Council	0	0.00%
Other (please give details below):	1	5.88%
Not Answered	0	0.00%


Other

There were 2 responses to this part of the question.

- Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation (e.g. a business or a voluntary group)?

Individual or organisation

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
As an individual	17	100.00%
On behalf of an organisation	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- How did you find out about this consultation? (Select all that apply)

Find out

There were 16 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Local media (newspaper, radio or TV)	1	5.88%
Social media (Facebook, Twitter)	4	23.53%
Nextdoor	0	0.00%
Buckinghamshire Council website or Your Voice Bucks website	5	29.41%
Word of mouth	1	5.88%
Local Community Board	0	0.00%
Local Councillor	0	0.00%
Local Parish / Town Council	0	0.00%
Other (please give details below):	6	35.29%
Not Answered	1	5.88%

Other

There were 7 responses to this part of the question.

- Please provide the following details:

Name of organisation:

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

Your job title:

There were 0 responses to this part of the question.

- What is your postcode?


Postcode

There were 15 responses to this part of the question.

- What is your age?

Age

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Under 16	0	0.00%
16 to 24	1	5.88%
25 to 34	0	0.00%

35 to 44	5	29.41%
45 to 54	2	11.76%
55 to 64	5	29.41%
65 to 74	4	23.53%
75 to 84	0	0.00%
Over 85	0	0.00%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Are you:

Are you

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Female	8	47.06%
Male	9	52.94%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- Do you consider yourself to have a disability / disabilities, impairment(s) or long term health condition(s)? (Select all that apply)

Disability / impairment / long term health condition

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.




Option	Total	Percent
Disability / disabilities	0	0.00%
Impairment(s)	2	11.76%
Long term health condition(s)	2	11.76%
No	14	82.35%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

- How would you describe your ethnicity?

Ethnicity

There were 17 responses to this part of the question.



Option	Total	Percent
Asian - British	0	0.00%
Asian - Bangladeshi	0	0.00%
Asian - Chinese	0	0.00%
Asian - Indian	1	5.88%
Asian - Pakistani	0	0.00%
Any other Asian background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Black - African	0	0.00%
Black - British	0	0.00%
Black - Caribbean	0	0.00%
Any other Black, African or Caribbean background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Asian	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black African	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black British	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - White and Black Caribbean	0	0.00%
Mixed or multiple ethnic groups - Mixed or Multiple Ethnic backgrounds British	0	0.00%
Any other Mixed or Multiple ethnic background - Please give details below	0	0.00%
White - English, Welsh, Scottish, Northern Irish or British	13	76.47%
White - Irish	0	0.00%
White - Gypsy or English traveller	0	0.00%
White - Irish Traveller	0	0.00%
White - European	2	11.76%
Any other White background - Please give details below	1	5.88%
Other ethnic group - Arab	0	0.00%

Other ethnic group - Arab British	0	0.00%
Other ethnic group - Please give details below	0	0.00%
Prefer not to say	0	0.00%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Other

There was 1 response to this part of the question.

Appendix B- Original Orders

Chiltern Car Parks

https://yourvoicebucks.citizenspace.com/communities/chiltern-parking-pspo-2022/supporting_documents/Public%20Space%20Protection%20Order%20%20Chiltern%20area%20car%20parks.pdf

Desborough Road

https://yourvoicebucks.citizenspace.com/communities/desborough-road-pspo-2022/supporting_documents/Public%20Space%20Protection%20Order%20%20Desborough%20Road%20High%20Wycombe.pdf

Dorney Lake

https://yourvoicebucks.citizenspace.com/communities/dorney-lake-pspo-2022/supporting_documents/Public%20Space%20Protection%20Order%20%20Dorney%20Lake.pdf

High Wycombe Town Centre

<https://buckinghamshire-gov-uk.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/Public Space Protection Order High Wycombe Town Centre.pdf>